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#### Abstract

Since $n$-dimensional $\lambda$-hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ are critical points of the weighted area functional for the weighted volume-preserving variations, in this paper, we study the rigidity properties of complete $\lambda$-hypersurfaces. We give some gap theorems of complete $\lambda$-hypersurfaces with polynomial area growth. By making use of the generalized maximum principle for $\mathcal{L}$ of $\lambda$-hypersurfaces, we prove a rigidity theorem of complete $\lambda$ hypersurfaces.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a smooth $n$-dimensional immersed hypersurface in the $(n+1)$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. In [4], Cheng and Wei have introduced notation of the weighted volume-preserving mean curvature flow, which is defined as the following: a family $X(\cdot, t)$ of smooth immersions

$$
X(\cdot, t): M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}
$$

with $X(\cdot, 0)=X(\cdot)$ is called a weighted volume-preserving mean curvature flow if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial X(t)}{\partial t}=-\alpha(t) N(t)+\mathbf{H}(t) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where

$$
\alpha(t)=\frac{\int_{M} H(t)\langle N(t), N\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^{2}}{2}} d \mu}{\int_{M}\langle N(t), N\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^{2}}{2}} d \mu}
$$
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$\mathbf{H}(t)=\mathbf{H}(\cdot, t)$ and $N(t)$ denote the mean curvature vector and the normal vector of hypersurface $M_{t}=X\left(M^{n}, t\right)$ at point $X(\cdot, t)$, respectively and $N$ is the unit normal vector of $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. One can prove that the flow (1.1) preserves the weighted volume $V(t)$ defined by

$$
V(t)=\int_{M}\langle X(t), N\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^{2}}{2}} d \mu
$$

The weighted area functional $A:(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
A(t)=\int_{M} e^{-\frac{|X(t)|^{2}}{2}} d \mu_{t}
$$

where $d \mu_{t}$ is the area element of $M$ in the metric induced by $X(t)$. Let $X(t)$ : $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $X(0)=X$ be a variation of $X$. If $V(t)$ is constant for any $t$, we call $X(t): M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ a weighted volume-preserving variation of $X$. Cheng and Wei [4] have proved that $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a critical point of the weighted area functional $A(t)$ for all weighted volume-preserving variations if and only if there exists constant $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle X, N\rangle+H=\lambda \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

An immersed hypersurface $X(t): M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called a $\lambda$-hypersurface if the equation (1.2) is satisfied.

Remark 1.1. If $\lambda=0$, then the $\lambda$-hypersurface is a self-shrinker of the mean curvature flow. Hence, the $\lambda$-hypersurface is a generalization of the self-shrinker.

Example 1.1. The $n$-dimensional sphere $S^{n}(r)$ with radius $r>0$ is a compact $\lambda$-hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $\lambda=\frac{n}{r}-r$.

Example 1.2. For $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, the $n$-dimensional cylinder $S^{k}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ with radius $r>0$ is a complete and non-compact $\lambda$-hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $\lambda=\frac{k}{r}-r$.

Example 1.3. The $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a complete and non-compact $\lambda$-hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $\lambda=0$.

Definition 1.1. If $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is an $n$-dimensional hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we say that $M$ has polynomial area growth if there exist constant $C$ and $d$
such that for all $r \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Area}\left(B_{r}(0) \cap X(M)\right)=\int_{B_{r}(0) \cap X(M)} d \mu \leq C r^{d} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{r}(0)$ is a standard ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with radius $r$ and centered at the origin.

In [4], Cheng and Wei have studied properties of complete $\lambda$-hypersurfaces with polynomial area growth. They have proved that a complete and noncompact $\lambda$-hypersurface $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ has polynomial area growth if and only if $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a complete proper hypersurface. Furthermore, there is a positive constant $C$ such that for $r \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Area}\left(B_{r}(0) \cap X(M)\right)=\int_{B_{r}(0) \cap X(M)} d \mu \leq C r^{n+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}-2 \beta-\frac{\inf H^{2}}{2}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta=\frac{1}{4} \inf (\lambda-H)^{2}$.
In this paper, we study the rigidity theorems of complete $\lambda$-hypersurfaces. We will prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an $n$-dimensional complete $\lambda$ hypersurface with polynomial area growth in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then either

1) $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is isometric to the sphere $S^{n}(r)$ with radius $r>0$ or
2) $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is isometric to the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ or
3) $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is isometric to the cylinder $S^{1}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ or
4) $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is isometric to the cylinder $S^{n-1}(r) \times \mathbb{R}$ or
5) $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is isometric to the cylinder $S^{k}(\sqrt{k}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ for $2 \leq$ $k \leq n-2$ or
6) there exists $p \in M$ such that the squared norm $S$ of the second fundamental form and the mean curvature $H$ of $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sqrt{S(p)-\frac{H^{2}(p)}{n}}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n}(H(p)-\lambda)^{2}>1+\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 1.1. Let $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an $n$-dimensional complete $\lambda$ hypersurface with polynomial area growth in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. If
the squared norm $S$ of the second fundamental form and the mean curvature $H$ of $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sqrt{S-\frac{H^{2}}{n}}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^{2} \leq 1+\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is isometric to one of the following:

1) the sphere $S^{n}(r)$ with radius $r \leq \sqrt{n}$,
2) the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$,
3) the cylinder $S^{1}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with radius $r>0$ and $n=2$ or with radius $r \geq 1$ and $n>2$,
4) the cylinder $S^{n-1}(r) \times \mathbb{R}$ with radius $r>0$ and $n=2$ or with radius $r \leq \sqrt{n-1}$ and $n>2$,
5) the cylinder $S^{k}(\sqrt{k}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-2$.

Remark 1.2. If $\lambda=0$, that is, $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is an $n$-dimensional complete self-shrinker, the condition (1.6) becomes $S \leq 1$. Hence, the above theorem is a generalization of Cao and Li [1] and Le and Sesum [11] to $\lambda$ hypersurfaces. On study of complete self-shrinkers, see [2], [3], [5], [6], [7, 8], [9, 10].

Theorem 1.2. Let $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an $n$-dimensional complete $\lambda$ hypersurface with polynomial area growth in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2} \geq n+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left(H-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2} \equiv n+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}$ and $M$ is isometric to the sphere $S^{n}(r)$ with radius $r>0$.

For compact case, we give the following:
Proposition 1.1. Let $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an $n$-dimensional compact $\lambda$ hypersurface in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2} \leq n+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left(H-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2} \equiv n+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}$ and $M$ is isometric to the sphere $S^{n}(r)$ with radius $r>0$.

If we do not assume that $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ has polynomial area growth, we can prove the following:

Theorem 1.3. Let $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an $n$-dimensional complete $\lambda$ hypersurface in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. If the squared norm $S$ of the second fundamental form and the mean curvature $H$ of $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left(\sqrt{S-\frac{H^{2}}{n}}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^{2}\right\}<1+\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is isometric to one of the following:

1) the sphere $S^{n}(r)$ with radius $r<\sqrt{n}$,
2) the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Remark 1.3. If $\lambda=0$, that is, $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is an $n$-dimensional complete self-shrinker, the condition (1.9) becomes $\sup S<1$. The above theorem is a generalization of Cheng and Peng [2] to $\lambda$-hypersurfaces.

## 2. Proofs of theorems for $\lambda$-hypersurfaces

In order to prove our theorems, we prepare several fundamental formulas. Let $X: M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an $n$-dimensional connected hypersurface of the $(n+1)$ dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We choose a local orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{A}\right\}_{A=1}^{n+1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with dual coframe field $\left\{\omega_{A}\right\}_{A=1}^{n+1}$, such that, restricted to $M^{n}, e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M^{n}$. Then we have

$$
d X=\sum_{i} \omega_{i} e_{i}, \quad d e_{i}=\sum_{j} \omega_{i j} e_{j}+\omega_{i n+1} e_{n+1}
$$

and

$$
d e_{n+1}=\sum_{i} \omega_{n+1 i} e_{i}
$$

We restrict these forms to $M^{n}$, then

$$
\omega_{n+1}=0, \quad \omega_{n+1 i}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{i j} \omega_{j}, \quad h_{i j}=h_{j i}
$$

where $h_{i j}$ denotes component of the second fundamental form of $X: M^{n} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} . H=\sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{j j}$ is the mean curvature and $I I=\sum_{i, j} h_{i j} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} N$ is
the second fundamental form of $X: M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $N=e_{n+1}$. Let

$$
h_{i j k}=\nabla_{k} h_{i j} \quad \text { and } \quad h_{i j k l}=\nabla_{l} \nabla_{k} h_{i j},
$$

where $\nabla_{j}$ is the covariant differentiation operator. Gauss equations, Codazzi equations and Ricci formulas are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i j k l} & =h_{i k} h_{j l}-h_{i l} h_{j k}  \tag{2.1}\\
h_{i j k} & =h_{i k j}  \tag{2.2}\\
h_{i j k l}-h_{i j l k} & =\sum_{m=1}^{n} h_{i m} R_{m j k l}+\sum_{m=1}^{n} h_{m j} R_{m i k l}, \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{i j k l}$ is component of the curvature tensor. For a function $F$, we denote covariant derivatives of $F$ by $F_{, i}=\nabla_{i} F, \quad F_{, i j}=\nabla_{j} \nabla_{i} F$. For $\lambda$ hypersurfaces, an elliptic operator $\mathcal{L}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} f=\Delta f-\langle X, \nabla f\rangle \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ and $\nabla$ denote the Laplacian and the gradient operator of the $\lambda$ hypersurface, respectively. The $\mathcal{L}$ operator is introduced by Colding and Minicozzi in [6] for self-shrinkers and by Cheng and Wei [4] for $\lambda$ hypersurfaces.

The following lemma due to Colding and Minicozzi [6] is needed in order to prove our results.

Lemma 2.1. Let $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a complete hypersurface. If $u, v$ are $C^{2}$ functions satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}(|u \nabla v|+|\nabla u||\nabla v|+|u \mathcal{L} v|) e^{-\frac{|X|^{2}}{2}} d \mu<+\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} u(\mathcal{L} v) e^{-\frac{|X|^{2}}{2}} d \mu=-\int_{M}\langle\nabla u, \nabla v\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^{2}}{2}} d \mu \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $\langle X, N\rangle+H=\lambda$, one has

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{, i}=\sum_{j} h_{i j}\left\langle X, e_{j}\right\rangle  \tag{2.7}\\
H_{, i k}=\sum_{j} h_{i j k}\left\langle X, e_{j}\right\rangle+h_{i k}+\sum_{j} h_{i j} h_{j k}(\lambda-H) .
\end{gather*}
$$

From the Codazzi equation (2.2), we infer

$$
\Delta H=\sum_{i} H_{, i i}=\sum_{i} H_{, i}\left\langle X, e_{i}\right\rangle+H+S(\lambda-H)
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} H & =\Delta H-\sum_{i}\left\langle X, e_{i}\right\rangle H_{, i}=H+S(\lambda-H)  \tag{2.8}\\
\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} H^{2} & =|\nabla H|^{2}+H^{2}+S(\lambda-H) H \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By making use of the Ricci formulas, the Gauss equations and the Codazzi equations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} h_{i j} & =\Delta h_{i j}-\sum_{k}\left\langle X, e_{k}\right\rangle h_{i j k} \\
& =\sum_{k} h_{i j k k}-\sum_{k}\left\langle X, e_{k}\right\rangle h_{i j k} \\
& =(1-S) h_{i j}+\lambda \sum_{k} h_{i k} h_{k j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} S & =\frac{1}{2}\left\{\Delta \sum_{i, j}\left(h_{i j}\right)^{2}-\sum_{k}\left\langle X, e_{k}\right\rangle\left(\sum_{i, j}\left(h_{i j}^{2}\right)\right)_{, k}\right\} \\
& =\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}+(1-S) \sum_{i, j} h_{i j}^{2}+\lambda \sum_{i, j, k} h_{i k} h_{k j} h_{j i} \\
& =\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}+(1-S) S+\lambda f_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{3}=\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j} h_{j k} h_{k i}$.
Taking $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
h_{i j}=\lambda_{i} \delta_{i j}
$$

at a point $p$ and putting $\mu_{i}=\lambda_{i}-\frac{H}{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{3}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{3} & =\sum_{i}\left(\mu_{i}+\frac{H}{n}\right)^{3} \\
& =B_{3}+\frac{3}{n} H B+\frac{1}{n^{2}} H^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $B=\sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{2}=S-\frac{H^{2}}{n}$ and $B_{3}=\sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{3}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} B & =\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} S-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} \frac{H^{2}}{n} \\
& =\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}-\frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^{2}+(1-S) S+\lambda f_{3}-\frac{H^{2}}{n}-S(\lambda-H) \frac{H}{n} \\
& =\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}-\frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^{2}+(1-B) B-\frac{1}{n} H^{2} B+\lambda B_{3}+\frac{2}{n} \lambda H B .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{i} \mu_{i}=0, \quad \sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{2}=B
$$

it is not hard to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{3}\right| \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} B^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equality holds if and only if at least, $n-1$ of $\mu_{i}$ are equal.
Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} B & \geq \sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}-\frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^{2} \\
& +(1-B) B-\frac{1}{n} H^{2} B-|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} B^{\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{2}{n} \lambda H B \\
& =\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}-\frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^{2} \\
& +B\left(1-B-\frac{1}{n} H^{2}-|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} B^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{2}{n} \lambda H\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}-\frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^{2} \\
& +B\left(1+\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)}-\frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^{2}-\left(\sqrt{B}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ has polynomial area growth, according to the results of Cheng and Wei in [4], we can apply the lemma 2.1 to functions 1 and
$B=S-\frac{H^{2}}{n}$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \geq \int_{M}\left\{\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}-\frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^{2}\right\} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{2}} d \mu \\
& +\int_{M} B\left(1+\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)}-\frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^{2}-\left(\sqrt{B}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}\right) e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{2}} d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

From the Codazzi equations and the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}=3 \sum_{i \neq k} h_{i i k}^{2}+\sum_{i} h_{i i i}^{2}+\sum_{i \neq j \neq k \neq i} h_{i j k}^{2}, \quad \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^{2} \leq \sum_{i, k} h_{i i k}^{2} \\
\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}-\frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^{2} \geq 2 \sum_{i \neq k} h_{i i k}^{2}+\sum_{i \neq j \neq k \neq i} h_{i j k}^{2} \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $h_{i j k}=0$ for any $i, j, k$. Therefore, we get either $B \equiv 0$ and $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is totally umbilical; or there exists $p \in M$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sqrt{S(p)-\frac{H^{2}(p)}{n}}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n}(H(p)-\lambda)^{2}  \tag{2.11}\\
> & 1+\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)}
\end{align*}
$$

or for any point of $M$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}-\frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^{2}=0 \\
\left(\sqrt{S-\frac{H^{2}}{n}}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^{2}=1+\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, we know that the second fundamental form is parallel, $X: M \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is an isoparametric complete hypersurface. If $\lambda=0$, then $X: M \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is isometric to the sphere $S^{n}(\sqrt{n})$, the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the cylinder $S^{k}(\sqrt{k}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then we have from (2.10) that the number of the distinct principal curvatures is two and one of them is simple, $X: M \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is isometric to the sphere $S^{n}(r)$, the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the cylinder $S^{1}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, the cylinder $S^{n-1}(r) \times \mathbb{R}$. The proof of the theorem 1.1 is completed.

Proof of Corollary 1.1. For $S^{n}(r)$, we have

$$
H=\frac{n}{r}, \quad S=\frac{n}{r^{2}}, \quad \lambda=H-r=\frac{n-r^{2}}{r}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sqrt{S-\frac{H^{2}}{n}}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^{2}-1-\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)}  \tag{2.12}\\
= & -\frac{\left(n-r^{2}\right)^{2}}{n r^{2}}+\frac{r^{2}}{n}-1 \\
= & \frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(r^{2}-n\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $S^{1}(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, we have

$$
H=\frac{1}{r}, \quad S=\frac{1}{r^{2}}, \quad \lambda=H-r=\frac{1-r^{2}}{r}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sqrt{S-\frac{H^{2}}{n}}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^{2}-1-\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)}  \tag{2.13}\\
= & \frac{n-2}{n r^{2}}\left(1-r^{2}+\left|1-r^{2}\right|\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $S^{n-1}(r) \times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
H=\frac{n-1}{r}, \quad S=\frac{n-1}{r^{2}}, \quad \lambda=H-r=\frac{n-1-r^{2}}{r}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sqrt{S-\frac{H^{2}}{n}}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^{2}-1-\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)}  \tag{2.14}\\
= & \frac{n-2}{n r^{2}}\left(r^{2}-(n-1)+\left|r^{2}-(n-1)\right|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Combining the theorem 1.1, (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14), we can finish the proof of the Corollary 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By a direct calculation, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|X|^{2} & =<\Delta X, X>+\sum_{i}<X_{, i}, X_{, i}>  \tag{2.15}\\
& =H<N, X>+n \\
& =n+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}-\left(H-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the assumption of polynomial area growth, we have

$$
\int_{M}\left(\Delta|X|^{2}\right) e^{-\frac{|X|^{2}}{2}} d \mu<+\infty,\left.\left.\quad \int_{M}|\nabla| X\right|^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{|X|^{2}}{2}} d \mu<+\infty
$$

then we can apply the lemma 2.1 to function 1 and $|X|^{2}$ and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left.\frac{1}{4} \int_{M}|\nabla| X\right|^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{|X|^{2}}{2}} d \mu & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}\left(\Delta|X|^{2}\right) e^{-\frac{|X|^{2}}{2}} d \mu \\
& =\int_{M}\left(n+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}-\left(H-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2}\right) e^{-\frac{|X|^{2}}{2}} d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\left(H-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2} \geq n+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2}=n+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}, \quad<X, X>=r^{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

namely, $M$ is isometric to the sphere $S^{n}(r)$ with radius $r>0$. It completes the proof of the theorem 1.2.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Integrating (2.15) over $M$ and using the Stokes formula, one concludes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left(n+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}-\left(H-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2}\right) d \mu=0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it follows from $\left(H-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2} \leq n+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2}=n+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $M$ is isometric to the sphere $S^{n}(r)$ with radius $r>0$. It completes the proof of the proposition 1.1.

By making use of the same assertions as in Cheng and Peng [2], we know that the following generalized maximum principle holds.

Theorem 2.1. (Generalized maximum principle for $\mathcal{L}$-operator) Let $X$ : $M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a complete $\lambda$-hypersurface with Ricci curvature bounded from below. Let $f$ be any $C^{2}$-function bounded from above on this $\lambda$-hypersurface. Then, there exists a sequence of points $\left\{p_{k}\right\} \subset M$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f\left(X\left(p_{k}\right)\right) & =\sup f \\
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}|\nabla f|\left(X\left(p_{k}\right)\right) & =0  \tag{2.19}\\
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L} f\left(X\left(p_{k}\right)\right) & \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. From the proof in the theorem 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} B \geq \sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}-\frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^{2} \\
& \quad+B\left(1+\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)}-\frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^{2}-\left(\sqrt{B}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}-\frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^{2} \geq 2 \sum_{i \neq k} h_{i i k}^{2}+\sum_{i \neq j \neq k \neq i} h_{i j k}^{2} \geq 0
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} B \geq B\left(1+\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)}-\frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^{2}-\left(\sqrt{B}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\sup \left\{\left(\sqrt{S-\frac{H^{2}}{n}}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^{2}\right\}<1+\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)}
$$

we know $H^{2}$ and $S$ are bounded. Hence, from the Gauss equations, we infer that the Ricci curvature is bounded from below. Applying the generalized maximum principle for $\mathcal{L}$ of $\lambda$-hypersurfaces to function $B$, there exists a
sequence of points $\left\{p_{k}\right\} \subset M$ such that
$0 \geq \sup B\left(1+\frac{n \lambda^{2}}{4(n-1)}-\sup \left\{\frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^{2}+\left(\sqrt{B}+|\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}}\right)^{2}\right\}\right)$.
Hence, $\sup B=0$, that is, $S \equiv \frac{H^{2}}{n}$. It follows from (2.12) that $X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is isometric to

1) the sphere $S^{n}(r)$ with radius $r<\sqrt{n}$ or
2) the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

It completes the proof of the theorem 1.3.
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