Stochastic Lagrangian path for Leray solutions of 3D Navier-Stokes equations

Xicheng Zhang

Wuhan University (A joint work with Guohuan Zhao)

Japanese-German Open Conference on Stochastic Analysis

Fukuoka •2019.9.2-6

Let u be any Leray's solution of 3D-NSE

```
\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}, \quad \text{div } \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{u}_0 = \varphi.
```

э

Let u be any Leray's solution of 3D-NSE

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}, \quad \text{div } \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{u}_0 = \varphi.$$

 We show the existence of weak solutions to the following stochastic Lagrangian particle equation

$$\mathrm{d} X_{s,t} = \mathbf{u}(t, X_{s,t}) \mathrm{d} t + \sqrt{2\nu} \mathrm{d} W_t, \ X_{s,s} = x, \ t \ge s.$$

• Let u be any Leray's solution of 3D-NSE

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}, \quad \text{div } \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{u}_0 = \varphi.$$

 We show the existence of weak solutions to the following stochastic Lagrangian particle equation

$$\mathrm{d}X_{s,t} = \mathbf{u}(t, X_{s,t})\mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2\nu}\mathrm{d}W_t, \ X_{s,s} = x, \ t \ge s.$$

•
$$\mathbb{P} \circ X_{s,t}(x)^{-1} \in \mathbb{H}_q^{1,
ho}$$
 provided $ho, q \in [1,2)$ with $rac{3}{
ho} + rac{2}{q} > 4$.

H N

• Let u be any Leray's solution of 3D-NSE

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}, \quad \text{div } \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{u}_0 = \varphi.$$

 We show the existence of weak solutions to the following stochastic Lagrangian particle equation

$$\mathrm{d} X_{s,t} = \mathbf{u}(t, X_{s,t}) \mathrm{d} t + \sqrt{2\nu} \mathrm{d} W_t, \ X_{s,s} = x, \ t \geqslant s.$$

•
$$\mathbb{P} \circ X_{s,t}(x)^{-1} \in \mathbb{H}_q^{1,\rho}$$
 provided $\rho, q \in [1,2)$ with $\frac{3}{\rho} + \frac{2}{q} > 4$.

 For Lebesgue almost all (s, x), the solution Xⁿ_{s,.}(x) associated with the mollifying velocity field u_n weakly converges to X_{s,.}(x).









• Let $d \ge 2$ and consider the following Navier-Stokes equation:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{p}, \quad \text{div } \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{u}_0 = \varphi,$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_d)$ is the velocity field of the fluid, $\nu > 0$ is the viscosity constant, and *p* stands for the pressure.

• Let $d \ge 2$ and consider the following Navier-Stokes equation:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}, \quad \text{div } \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{u}_0 = \varphi,$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \cdots, u_d)$ is the velocity field of the fluid, $\nu > 0$ is the viscosity constant, and *p* stands for the pressure.

For any divergence free vector field φ ∈ L²(ℝ^d; ℝ^d), there exists a divergence free Leray weak solution to 3D-NSEs with

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T];L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))} + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}([0,T];L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))} < \infty, \ \forall T > 0.$$

• Let $d \ge 2$ and consider the following Navier-Stokes equation:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}, \quad \text{div } \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{u}_0 = \varphi,$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_d)$ is the velocity field of the fluid, $\nu > 0$ is the viscosity constant, and *p* stands for the pressure.

For any divergence free vector field φ ∈ L²(ℝ^d; ℝ^d), there exists a divergence free Leray weak solution to 3D-NSEs with

 $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T];L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))}+\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}([0,T];L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))}<\infty, \ \forall T>0.$

• Buckmaster and Vicol (2018, AOM) showed that there are infinitely many weak solutions $\mathbf{u} \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$ for 3D-NSEs on the torus.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• Let $d \ge 2$ and consider the following Navier-Stokes equation:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}, \quad \text{div } \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{u}_0 = \varphi,$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_d)$ is the velocity field of the fluid, $\nu > 0$ is the viscosity constant, and *p* stands for the pressure.

For any divergence free vector field φ ∈ L²(ℝ^d; ℝ^d), there exists a divergence free Leray weak solution to 3D-NSEs with

 $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T];L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))}+\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}([0,T];L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))}<\infty, \ \forall T>0.$

- Buckmaster and Vicol (2018, AOM) showed that there are infinitely many weak solutions $\mathbf{u} \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$ for 3D-NSEs on the torus.
- Existence and smoothness of Leray solutions are open problems!

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• <u>Question</u>: For any Leray solution **u**, is it possible to construct the stochastic Lagrangian particle trajectory associated with **u**?

- <u>Question</u>: For any Leray solution **u**, is it possible to construct the stochastic Lagrangian particle trajectory associated with **u**?
- More precisely, for each starting point x, is there a unique solution to the following SDE?

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \mathbf{u}(t, X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2\nu}\mathrm{d}W_t, \ X_0 = x, \tag{1.1}$$

where *W* is a *d*-dimensional standard Brownian motion on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbf{P})$.

3 > 4 3

 If u is smooth in x, then by Constantin and lyer's representation (2008, CPAM), u can be reconstructed from X_t(x) as follows:

$$\mathbf{u}(t,x) = \mathcal{P}\mathbb{E}(\nabla^{\mathsf{t}}X_t^{-1}(x)\cdot\varphi(X_t^{-1}(x))),$$

where \mathcal{P} is the Leray projection and $X_t^{-1}(x)$ is the inverse of stochastic flow $x \mapsto X_t(x)$, and ∇^t is the transpose of a Jacobian matrix.

 Krylov and Röckner (2005, PTRF) showed the existence-uniqueness of strong solutions to SDE (1.1) under the following assumption

$$\mathbf{u} \in \bigcap_{T>0} L^q([0,T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)), \ p,q \ge 2, \ \frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1.$$
 (1.2)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

 Krylov and Röckner (2005, PTRF) showed the existence-uniqueness of strong solutions to SDE (1.1) under the following assumption

$$\mathbf{u} \in \bigcap_{T>0} L^q([0,T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)), \ p,q \ge 2, \ \frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1.$$
 (1.2)

 The unique solution X_t(x) is weakly differentiable in x and satisfies (see Fedrizzi-Flandoli (2013), Z. (2013), (2016)):

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\nabla X_t(x)|^p\right) < \infty, \ \forall p \ge 1, \ T > 0.$$

.

• Leray's solution does not satisfy

$$\mathbf{u} \in \cap_{T>0} L^q([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)), \ p, q \ge 2, \ \frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1,$$
 (1.3)

but only satisfies

$$\mathbf{u} \in \cap_{T>0} L^q([0,T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad p,q \ge 2, \quad \frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} > \frac{d}{2}. \tag{1.4}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Leray's solution does not satisfy

$$\mathbf{u} \in \cap_{T>0} L^q([0,T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)), \ p,q \ge 2, \ \frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1,$$
(1.3)

but only satisfies

$$\mathbf{u} \in \cap_{T>0} L^q([0,T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad p,q \ge 2, \quad \frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} > \frac{d}{2}. \tag{1.4}$$

 Deterministic Lagrangian particle trajectories associated with u have been studied very well (see Robinson, Rodrigo and Sadowski's book).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

• Consider the following stochastic differential equation in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\mathrm{d}X_{s,t} = b(t, X_{s,t})\mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2}\mathrm{d}W_t, \ t > s, \ X_{s,s} = x, \tag{1.5}$$

where $b(t, x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a measurable vector field.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

• Consider the following stochastic differential equation in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\mathrm{d}X_{s,t} = b(t, X_{s,t})\mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2}\mathrm{d}W_t, \ t > s, \ X_{s,s} = x, \tag{1.5}$$

where $b(t, x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a measurable vector field.

• The generator associated with the above SDE is given by

$$\mathscr{L}_t^b := \Delta + b(t, \cdot) \cdot \nabla.$$

• Consider the following stochastic differential equation in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\mathrm{d}X_{s,t} = b(t, X_{s,t})\mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2}\mathrm{d}W_t, \ t > s, \ X_{s,s} = x, \tag{1.5}$$

where $b(t, x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a measurable vector field.

The generator associated with the above SDE is given by

$$\mathscr{L}_t^b := \Delta + b(t, \cdot) \cdot \nabla.$$

Under the following assumption

$$b\in \cap_{\mathcal{T}>0}L^q([0,\mathcal{T}];L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))=:L^q_{\mathit{loc}}(L^p), \;\; p,q\geqslant 2, \;\; rac{d}{p}+rac{2}{q}<2,$$

is there a semimartingale solution of SDE (1.5)? That is,

$$X_{s,t} = x + \int_{s}^{t} b(r, X_{s,r}) \mathrm{d}r + \sqrt{2}(W_t - W_s), \quad \forall t \ge s ??$$
(1.6)

• Krylov-Röckner (2005, PTRF): Strong well-posedness $(\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1)$.

3

(4) (5) (4) (5)

- Krylov-Röckner (2005, PTRF): Strong well-posedness ($\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$).
- Bass-Chen (2006, AOP): Weak well-posedness in the class of semimartingales when b belongs to some generalized Kato's class K_{d-1}.

- Krylov-Röckner (2005, PTRF): Strong well-posedness ($\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$).
- Bass-Chen (2006, AOP): Weak well-posedness in the class of semimartingales when b belongs to some generalized Kato's class K_{d-1}.
- Flandoli, Issoglio and Russo (2014) Well-posedness in the class of "virtual" solutions when b ∈ H^{-α,p} with α ∈ (0, ½) and p ∈ (^d/_{1-α}, ^d/_α).

- Krylov-Röckner (2005, PTRF): Strong well-posedness ($\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$).
- Bass-Chen (2006, AOP): Weak well-posedness in the class of semimartingales when b belongs to some generalized Kato's class K_{d-1}.
- Flandoli, Issoglio and Russo (2014) Well-posedness in the class of "virtual" solutions when b ∈ H^{-α,p} with α ∈ (0, ½) and p ∈ (^d/_{1-α}, ^d/_α).
- Z.-Zhao (2017): Weak well-posedness in the class of Dirichlet processes when b ∈ H^{-α,p} with α ∈ (0, ½] and p ∈ (^d/_{1-α}, ∞).

• • • • • • • • • •

A B A A B A

• Critical case $(\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 1 \text{ with } p, q \in (2, \infty))$:

Beck, Flandoli, Gubinelli and Maurelli (2014) showed the existence of strong solutions for almost all starting point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

• Critical case $(\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 1 \text{ with } p, q \in (2, \infty))$:

Beck, Flandoli, Gubinelli and Maurelli (2014) showed the existence of strong solutions for almost all starting point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

• Kinzebulatov and Semenov (2017) showed the existence of weak solutions for each starting point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ when $b \in L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is *time-independent*, but the uniqueness is left open.

• Consider the following concrete SDE:

$$X_t = -c \int_0^t X_s |X_s|^{-2} \mathrm{d}s + W_t, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(1.7)

크

• Consider the following concrete SDE:

$$X_t = -c \int_0^t X_s |X_s|^{-2} \mathrm{d}s + W_t, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(1.7)

If c ≥ d, Kinzebulatov and Semenov showed that the above SDE does not allow a solution. If c < c_d, where c_d ∈ (0, d) is some constant only depending on d, they proved that there exists a weak solution to the above SDE by utilizing the analytic construction of the semigroup e^{-t(Δ+b·∇)}.

★ ∃ > < ∃ >

• Consider the following concrete SDE:

$$X_t = -c \int_0^t X_s |X_s|^{-2} \mathrm{d}s + W_t, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(1.7)

- If c ≥ d, Kinzebulatov and Semenov showed that the above SDE does not allow a solution. If c < c_d, where c_d ∈ (0, d) is some constant only depending on d, they proved that there exists a weak solution to the above SDE by utilizing the analytic construction of the semigroup e^{-t(Δ+b·∇)}.
- By direct calculations, for $b(x) := -cx|x|^{-2}$ and $d \ge 3$, we have

$$div b(x) = -c(d-2)|x|^{-2} \notin L_{loc}^{d/2}.$$

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

• Consider the following concrete SDE:

$$X_t = -c \int_0^t X_s |X_s|^{-2} \mathrm{d}s + W_t, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(1.7)

- If c ≥ d, Kinzebulatov and Semenov showed that the above SDE does not allow a solution. If c < c_d, where c_d ∈ (0, d) is some constant only depending on d, they proved that there exists a weak solution to the above SDE by utilizing the analytic construction of the semigroup e^{-t(Δ+b·∇)}.
- By direct calculations, for $b(x) := -cx|x|^{-2}$ and $d \ge 3$, we have

$$div b(x) = -c(d-2)|x|^{-2} \notin L_{loc}^{d/2}.$$

• Intuitively, if $c \ge d$, then the centripetal force is so strong such that the particle can not escape from the origin immediately so that even though a random perturbation is added, there is no solution for SDE (1.7).

Let D be the space of all smooth functions with compact supports and D' the dual space of D, which is also called distribution space. The duality between D' and D is denoted by ((·, ·)). In particular, if f(t, x) and g(t, x) are two real functions in ℝ × ℝ^d, then

$$\langle\!\langle f,g
angle\!\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle f(t),g(t)
angle \mathrm{d}t \; \; \mathrm{with} \; \langle f(t),g(t)
angle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,x)g(t,x) \mathrm{d}x.$$

Let D be the space of all smooth functions with compact supports and D' the dual space of D, which is also called distribution space. The duality between D' and D is denoted by ((·, ·)). In particular, if f(t, x) and g(t, x) are two real functions in ℝ × ℝ^d, then

$$\langle\!\langle f,g
angle\!
angle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle f(t),g(t)
angle \mathrm{d}t \;\; ext{with} \;\; \langle f(t),g(t)
angle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,x)g(t,x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

For two distributions *f*, *g* ∈ 𝒫', one says that *f* ≤ *g* if for any non-negative φ ∈ 𝒫,

$$\langle\!\langle f,\varphi\rangle\!\rangle \leqslant \langle\!\langle g,\varphi\rangle\!\rangle.$$

For α ∈ ℝ and p ∈ [1,∞], let H^{α,p} be the usual Bessel potential space with norm:

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,\rho} := \|(\mathbb{I}-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}f\|_{\rho} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\mathbb{I}-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}f(x)|^p \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/\rho}.$$

For α ∈ ℝ and p ∈ [1,∞], let H^{α,p} be the usual Bessel potential space with norm:

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,p} := \|(\mathbb{I} - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\mathbb{I} - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} f(x)|^p \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p}$$

For α ∈ ℝ and p, q ∈ [1,∞], let ℍ^{α,p}_q := L^q(ℝ; H^{α,p}) be the space of spatial-time functions with norm

$$\|f\|_{lpha,p;q} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t,\cdot)\|_{lpha,p}^{q} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/q}.$$

• For r > 0, we define

$$B_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < r\}, \ Q_r := (-r^2, r^2) \times B_r.$$

æ

• For r > 0, we define

$$B_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < r\}, \ Q_r := (-r^2, r^2) \times B_r.$$

• Fix $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}; [0, 1])$ with $\chi|_{Q_1} = 1$ and $\chi|_{Q_2^c} = 0$. For r > 0 and $(s, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, define

$$\chi_r(t,x) := \chi(r^{-2}t,r^{-1}x), \ \chi_r^{s,z}(t,x) := \chi_r(t-s,x-z).$$

э

A B F A B F
• For r > 0, we define

$$B_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < r\}, \ Q_r := (-r^2, r^2) \times B_r.$$

• Fix $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}; [0, 1])$ with $\chi|_{Q_1} = 1$ and $\chi|_{Q_2^c} = 0$. For r > 0 and $(s, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, define

$$\chi_r(t,x) := \chi(r^{-2}t,r^{-1}x), \ \chi_r^{s,z}(t,x) := \chi_r(t-s,x-z).$$

• Fix r > 0. Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{q}^{\alpha,p}$ be the Banach space of all functions $f \in \mathbb{H}_{q,loc}^{\alpha,p}$ with

$$\|f\|\|_{\alpha,p;q} := \sup_{s,z} \|f\chi_r^{s,z}\|_{\alpha,p;q} < \infty.$$

• For r > 0, we define

$$B_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < r\}, \ Q_r := (-r^2, r^2) imes B_r.$$

• Fix $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}; [0, 1])$ with $\chi|_{Q_1} = 1$ and $\chi|_{Q_2^c} = 0$. For r > 0 and $(s, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, define

$$\chi_r(t,x) := \chi(r^{-2}t,r^{-1}x), \ \chi_r^{s,z}(t,x) := \chi_r(t-s,x-z).$$

• Fix r > 0. Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{q}^{\alpha,p}$ be the Banach space of all functions $f \in \mathbb{H}_{q,loc}^{\alpha,p}$ with

$$|||f|||_{\alpha,p;q} := \sup_{s,z} ||f\chi_r^{s,z}||_{\alpha,p;q} < \infty.$$

• For p' > p, q' > q, we have $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{q'}^{\alpha,p'} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{q}^{\alpha,p'} (\mathbb{H}_{q'}^{\alpha,p'} \nsubseteq \mathbb{H}_{q}^{\alpha,p})$.

 Let C be the space of all continuous functions from R₊ to R^d, which is endowed with the usual Borel σ-field B(C).

- Let C be the space of all continuous functions from R₊ to R^d, which is endowed with the usual Borel σ-field B(C).
- All the probability measures over $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$ is denoted by $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{C})$.

- Let C be the space of all continuous functions from R₊ to R^d, which is endowed with the usual Borel σ-field B(C).
- All the probability measures over $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$ is denoted by $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{C})$.
- Let ω_t be the canonical process over C. For t ≥ 0, let B_t := B_t(C) be the natural filtration generated by {ω_s : s ≤ t}.

Definition 1

For given $(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we call a probability measure $\mathbb{P}_{s,x} \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{C})$ a martingale solution of SDE (1.5) with starting point (s, x) if

(i) $\mathbb{P}_{s,x}(\omega_t = x, t \leq s) = 1$, and for each t > s,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_{s,x}}\left(\int_{s}^{t}|b(r,\omega_{r})|\mathrm{d}r
ight)<\infty.$$

(ii) For all $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, M_t^f is a \mathcal{B}_t -martingale under $\mathbb{P}_{s,x}$, where

$$M_t^f(\omega) := f(\omega_t) - f(x) - \int_s^t \mathscr{L}_r^b f(\omega_r) \mathrm{d}r, \ t \ge s.$$

All the martingale solution $\mathbb{P}_{s,x}$ with starting point (s, x) and drift *b* is denoted by $\mathscr{M}_{s,x}^{b}$.

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Let P_{s,x} ∈ M^b_{s,x}. By Lévy's characterization for Brownian motion, one sees that

$$W_t := rac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(\omega_t - \omega_s - \int_s^t b(r, \omega_r) \mathrm{d}r
ight), \ t \ge s,$$

is a *d*-dimensional standard Browian motion under $\mathbb{P}_{s,x}$, so that

$$\omega_t = \mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathbf{s}}^t \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{r}, \omega_r) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} + \sqrt{2} \mathbf{W}_t, \ t \ge \mathbf{s}.$$

In other words, $(\mathbb{C}, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{P}_{s,x}, \omega_t, W_t)$ is a weak solution of SDE (1.5).

Theorem 2

Suppose that for some
$$p_i, q_i \in [2, \infty)$$
 with $\frac{d}{p_i} + \frac{2}{q_i} < 2, i = 1, 2,$

$$|||b|||_{0,p_1;q_1} + |||(\operatorname{div} b)^-|||_{0,p_2;q_2} < \infty.$$
(2.1)

For each $(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists at least one martingale solution $\mathbb{P}_{s,x} \in \mathscr{M}_{s,x}^b$, which satisfies the following Krylov's type estimate: for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ with $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 2 - \alpha$, there exist $\theta = \theta(\alpha, p, q) > 0$ and a constant C > 0 such that for all $s \leq t_0 < t_1 < \infty$ with $t_1 - t_0 \leq 1$ and $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_{s,x}}\left(\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(t,\omega_t) \mathrm{d}t \bigg| \mathcal{B}_{t_0}\right) \leqslant C(t_1 - t_0)^{\theta} |||f|||_{-\alpha,p;q}.$$
(2.2)



Moreover, we have the following conclusions

(i) (Weak uniqueness) For any mollifying approximation b_n of b, there is a Lebesgue-null set $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for all $(s, x) \in \mathcal{N}^c$,

 $\mathbb{P}^n_{s,x}$ weakly converges to $\mathbb{P}_{s,x} \in \mathscr{M}^b_{s,x}$, where $\mathbb{P}^n_{s,x} \in \mathscr{M}^{b_n}_{s,x}$.

(ii) (Almost surely Markov property) For each $(s, x) \in \mathcal{N}^c$, there is a Lebesgue null set $I_{s,x} \subset [s, \infty)$ such that for all $t_0 \in (s, \infty) \setminus I_{s,x}$, any $t_1 > t_0$ and $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_{s,x}}(f(\omega_{t_1})|\mathcal{B}_{t_0})=\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_{t_0,\omega_{t_0}}}(f(\omega_{t_1})), \ \ \mathbb{P}_{s,x}-a.s.$$

(iii) (L^{p} -semigroup) Let $\mathcal{T}_{s,t}f(x) := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_{s,x}}f(\omega_{t})$. For any $p \ge 1$ and T > 0, there is a constant C > 0 such that for Lebesgue almost all $0 \le s < t \le T$ and $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$,

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{s,t}f\|_{\rho} \leqslant C \|f\|_{\rho}. \tag{2.3}$$

Application to stochastic Lagrangian particle path of 3D-NSEs

• If $(\operatorname{div} b)^- \equiv 0$, then $\|\mathcal{T}_{s,t}f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$ in (2.3). If $\operatorname{div} b \equiv 0$, then for any nonnegative $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\|\mathcal{T}_{s,t}f\|_1 = \|f\|_1$. By (1.4), we can apply the above theorem to the Leray solution of 3D-NSEs.

Application to stochastic Lagrangian particle path of 3D-NSEs

- If $(\operatorname{div} b)^- \equiv 0$, then $\|\mathcal{T}_{s,t}f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$ in (2.3). If $\operatorname{div} b \equiv 0$, then for any nonnegative $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\|\mathcal{T}_{s,t}f\|_1 = \|f\|_1$. By (1.4), we can apply the above theorem to the Leray solution of 3D-NSEs.
- Under the assumptions

$$abla b \in \mathbb{L}^1_{loc}, \quad (\mathrm{div} b)^-, b/(1+|x|) \in \mathbb{L}^\infty,$$

the existence and uniqueness of almost everywhere stochastic flows are obtained in the framework of DiPerna-Lions' theory has been obtained in Zhang (2010). However, the existence of a solution is only shown for Lebesgue almost all starting point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

∃ ► < ∃</p>

Example

Let $d \ge 3$ and $\alpha < 3$. Define

$$b(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \gamma_{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^{lpha}} \phi(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|),$$

where for some M > 0, $\gamma_z \in (0, M)$ is a constant and $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+; [0, 1])$ with $\phi(r) = 1$ for $r \in [0, 1]$ and $\phi(r) = 0$ for r > 2. It is easy to see that (2.1) holds.

A B F A B F

Idea of Proof

• We assume that for some $p_i, q_i \in [2, \infty)$ with $\frac{d}{p_i} + \frac{2}{q_i} < 2, i = 1, 2,$ $\kappa := |||b|||_{p_1;q_1} + |||(\operatorname{div} b)^-|||_{p_2;q_2} < \infty.$

э.

Idea of Proof

- We assume that for some $p_i, q_i \in [2, \infty)$ with $\frac{d}{p_i} + \frac{2}{q_i} < 2, i = 1, 2,$ $\kappa := |||b|||_{p_1;q_1} + |||(\operatorname{div} b)^-|||_{p_2;q_2} < \infty.$
- Let b_n(t, x) = b(t, ·)*ρ_n(x) be the mollifying approximation of b(t, ·).
 It is easy to check that

$$\sup_{n} \left(|||\boldsymbol{b}_{n}|||_{\boldsymbol{p}_{1};\boldsymbol{q}_{1}} + |||(\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{b}_{n})^{-}|||_{\boldsymbol{p}_{2};\boldsymbol{q}_{2}} \right) \leqslant \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{\kappa},$$

and

$$b_n \in L^{q_1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; C^{\infty}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

A B F A B F

Idea of Proof

- We assume that for some $p_i, q_i \in [2, \infty)$ with $\frac{d}{p_i} + \frac{2}{q_i} < 2, i = 1, 2,$ $\kappa := |||b|||_{p_1;q_1} + |||(\operatorname{div} b)^-|||_{p_2;q_2} < \infty.$
- Let b_n(t, x) = b(t, ·)*ρ_n(x) be the mollifying approximation of b(t, ·).
 It is easy to check that

$$\sup_{n} \left(|||\boldsymbol{b}_{n}|||_{\boldsymbol{p}_{1};\boldsymbol{q}_{1}} + |||(\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{b}_{n})^{-}|||_{\boldsymbol{p}_{2};\boldsymbol{q}_{2}} \right) \leqslant \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{\kappa},$$

and

$$b_n \in L^{q_1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; C^{\infty}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

• For $(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$, consider the following SDE:

$$\mathrm{d} X_{s,t}^n = b_n(t,X_{s,t}^n)\mathrm{d} t + \sqrt{2}\mathrm{d} W_t, \ X_{s,s}^n = x, \ t \ge s,$$

where *W* is a *d*-dimensional standard Brownian motion on some complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, (\mathscr{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}, \mathbf{P})$.

Xicheng Zhang

• We want to show that the law $\mathbb{P}^n_{s,x}$ of $X^n_{s,\cdot}(x)$ is tight in \mathbb{C} .

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

- We want to show that the law $\mathbb{P}^n_{s,x}$ of $X^n_{s,\cdot}(x)$ is tight in \mathbb{C} .
- Notice that for any stopping time τ ,

$$X^n_{s,\tau+\delta}(x)-X^n_{s,\tau}(x)=\int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta}b_n(t,X^n_{s,t}(x))\mathrm{d}t+\sqrt{2}(W_{\tau+\delta}-W_{\tau}),\ \delta>0.$$

- We want to show that the law $\mathbb{P}^n_{s,x}$ of $X^n_{s,\cdot}(x)$ is tight in \mathbb{C} .
- Notice that for any stopping time τ ,

$$X^n_{s,\tau+\delta}(x)-X^n_{s,\tau}(x)=\int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta}b_n(t,X^n_{s,t}(x))\mathrm{d}t+\sqrt{2}(W_{\tau+\delta}-W_{\tau}),\ \delta>0.$$

• If we can show that for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \sup_n \sup_{\tau} \mathbb{E} |X^n_{\mathcal{S},\tau+\delta}(x) - X^n_{\mathcal{S},\tau}(x)|^{\alpha} = 0,$$

then the tightness follows.

- We want to show that the law $\mathbb{P}^n_{s,x}$ of $X^n_{s,\cdot}(x)$ is tight in \mathbb{C} .
- Notice that for any stopping time τ ,

$$X^n_{s,\tau+\delta}(x)-X^n_{s,\tau}(x)=\int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta}b_n(t,X^n_{s,t}(x))\mathrm{d}t+\sqrt{2}(W_{\tau+\delta}-W_{\tau}),\ \delta>0.$$

• If we can show that for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \sup_n \sup_{\tau} \mathbb{E} |X^n_{\mathcal{S},\tau+\delta}(x) - X^n_{\mathcal{S},\tau}(x)|^{\alpha} = 0,$$

then the tightness follows.

By the strong Markov property, it suffices to show

$$\sup_n \sup_{s,x} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\delta b_n(s+t,X^n_{s,t}(x)) \mathrm{d} t \leqslant c_\delta \to 0.$$

- **- - - -**

Lemma 3

For any $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and $p,q \in (1,\infty)$ with $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 2 - \alpha$, there are constants $\theta = \theta(\alpha, p, q) > 0$ and C > 0 depending on κ, d, α, p, q , p_i, q_i such that for any $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ and $0 \leq s \leq t_0 < t_1 < \infty$ with $t_1 - t_0 \leq 1$,

$$\sup_{n} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{E}\left(\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(t, X_{s,t}^n(x)) \mathrm{d}t \middle| \mathscr{F}_{t_0}\right) \leq C(t_1 - t_0)^{\theta} |||f|||_{-\alpha, p; q}$$

$$\partial_t u_n + \Delta u_n + b_n \cdot \nabla u_n + f = 0, \ u_n(t_1, \cdot) = 0.$$

A B F A B F

4 A N

$$\partial_t u_n + \Delta u_n + b_n \cdot \nabla u_n + f = 0, \ u_n(t_1, \cdot) = 0.$$

By Itô's formula we have

$$u_n(t_1, X_{s,t_1}^n) = u_n(t_0, X_{s,t_0}^n) - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(t, X_{s,t}^n) dt + \sqrt{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \nabla u_n(t, X_{s,t}^n) dW_t.$$

A B F A B F

$$\partial_t u_n + \Delta u_n + b_n \cdot \nabla u_n + f = 0, \ u_n(t_1, \cdot) = 0.$$

By Itô's formula we have

$$u_n(t_1, X_{s,t_1}^n) = u_n(t_0, X_{s,t_0}^n) - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(t, X_{s,t}^n) dt + \sqrt{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \nabla u_n(t, X_{s,t}^n) dW_t.$$

• By taking conditional expectation with respect to \mathscr{F}_{t_0} , we obtain $\mathbf{E}\left(\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(t, X_{s,t}^n) \mathrm{d}t \middle| \mathscr{F}_{t_0}\right) = \mathbf{E}\left(u_n(t_0, X_{s,t_0}^n) | \mathscr{F}_{t_0}\right) \leqslant \|u_n(t_0)\|_{\infty}.$

$$\partial_t u_n + \Delta u_n + b_n \cdot \nabla u_n + f = 0, \ u_n(t_1, \cdot) = 0.$$

• By Itô's formula we have

$$u_n(t_1, X_{s,t_1}^n) = u_n(t_0, X_{s,t_0}^n) - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(t, X_{s,t}^n) dt + \sqrt{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \nabla u_n(t, X_{s,t}^n) dW_t.$$

- By taking conditional expectation with respect to \mathscr{F}_{t_0} , we obtain $\mathbf{E}\left(\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(t, X_{s,t}^n) \mathrm{d}t \middle| \mathscr{F}_{t_0}\right) = \mathbf{E}\left(u_n(t_0, X_{s,t_0}^n) | \mathscr{F}_{t_0}\right) \leqslant \|u_n(t_0)\|_{\infty}.$
- The key point is to show that

 $\|u_n(t_0)\|_{\infty} \leqslant C \|\|f1_{[t_0,t_1]}\|\|_{-\alpha,p;q'} \leqslant C(t_1-t_0)^{1-\frac{q'}{q}} \|\|f\|\|_{-\alpha,p;q},$

where
$$q' < q$$
 so that $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q'} < 2 - \alpha$.

Maximal principle by De-Giorgi's argument

• Let
$$\mathscr{V} := \mathbb{L}^2_{\infty} \cap \mathbb{H}^{1,2}_2, \ \mathscr{V}_{loc} := \mathbb{L}^2_{\infty,loc} \cap \mathbb{H}^{1,2}_{2,loc}.$$

æ

A B F A B F

Maximal principle by De-Giorgi's argument

• Let
$$\mathscr{V} := \mathbb{L}^2_{\infty} \cap \mathbb{H}^{1,2}_2$$
, $\mathscr{V}_{loc} := \mathbb{L}^2_{\infty,loc} \cap \mathbb{H}^{1,2}_{2,loc}$.
• We assume
 $b \in \mathbb{L}^2_{2,loc}$, $f \in \mathscr{D}'$,

2

イロン イ団 とく ヨン ・ ヨン …

Maximal principle by De-Giorgi's argument

• Let
$$\mathscr{V} := \mathbb{L}^2_{\infty} \cap \mathbb{H}^{1,2}_2$$
, $\mathscr{V}_{loc} := \mathbb{L}^2_{\infty,loc} \cap \mathbb{H}^{1,2}_{2,loc}$.
• We assume
 $b \in \mathbb{L}^2_{2,loc}$, $f \in \mathscr{D}'$,

• Consider the following PDE in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} :

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u + f.$$
 (4.1)

Definition 4

A function $u \in \mathscr{V}_{loc} \cap \mathbb{L}^{\infty}_{loc}$ is called a weak solution of PDE (4.1) if for any nonnegative smooth function $\varphi \in C^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$,

$$\langle\!\langle \partial_t u, \varphi \rangle\!\rangle = -\langle\!\langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle\!\rangle + \langle\!\langle b \cdot \nabla u, \varphi \rangle\!\rangle + \langle\!\langle f, \varphi \rangle\!\rangle.$$

Theorem 5 (Global maximum estimate)

Suppose that for some $\alpha_i \in [0, 1]$ and $p_i, q_i \in (1, \infty)$ with $\frac{d}{p_i} + \frac{2}{q_i} < 2 - \alpha_i$, i = 1, 2, 3,

$$b \in \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{q_1}^{-\alpha_1, p_1}, \ -\mathrm{div}b \leqslant \Theta_b \in \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{q_2}^{-\alpha_2, p_2}, \ f \in \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{q_3}^{-\alpha_3, p_3}. \tag{4.2}$$

Let $u \in \mathscr{V}_{loc} \cap \mathbb{L}^{\infty}_{loc}$ be a weak solution of PDE (4.1) with initial value u(0) = 0. For any T > 0, there exists a constant C > 0 depending only on T, d, α_i, p_i, q_i and the quantity

$$\kappa := |||b|||_{-\alpha_1, p_1; q_1} + |||\Theta_b|||_{-\alpha_1, p_1; q_1}$$

such that

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^{d})}+\|\|u\mathbf{1}_{[0,T]}\|\|_{\mathscr{V}}\leqslant C\|\|f\mathbf{1}_{[0,T]}\|\|_{-\alpha_{3},p_{3};q_{3}}.$$

3

• When $f \equiv 0$, under (4.2) with $\alpha_i = 0$, the local maximum principle is proved by Nazarov and Ural'tseva (2012) by using Moser's iteration.

- When $f \equiv 0$, under (4.2) with $\alpha_i = 0$, the local maximum principle is proved by Nazarov and Ural'tseva (2012) by using Moser's iteration.
- In elliptic case with b = 0 and f ∈ L^p(ℝ^d) for p > ^d/₂, Han-Lin (2011) show the same maximum principle by De-Giorgi and Moser's iterations.

Thank you for your kind attention!

H 16